

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bry 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1459 WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,068	11/05/2003	Craig Cless	6666.140	6311	
75	590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER		
Joseph W. Ber	renato, III		ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J		
Liniak, Berenat Suite 240	o & White, LLC		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
6550 Rock Spri	ng Drive		1775		
Bethesda, MD	20817		DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 - 4-4' 0	10/701,068	CLESS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John J. Zimmerman	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers			,			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	-	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list t	or the certified copies flot received	. ,				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

FIRST OFFICE ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 11, 12, 13A and 13B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is prior art is illustrated (see description of these figures in paragraphs [0004], [0005], [0027]-[0030]). See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheets should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,068 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

3. Claims 1 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wohrl (U.S. Patent 4,735,260).

- 4. Wohrl discloses a laminate comprising a metal foil and a metallic felt layer joined to the metal foil by brazing, crimping or clamping and having an exposed surface (e.g. see Figure 6; column 4, lines 51-53; column 5, lines 14-17).
- 5. Claims 1-2, 6-9, 16, 19, 40-44, 48 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lemont (U.S. Patent 3,948,295).
- 6. Lemont discloses a multi-layer tubing laminate comprising a metal foil and a metallic felt layer joined to the metal foil by fasteners or welding and having an exposed surface (e.g. see Figures 1-19; column 4, lines 10-25; column 13, lines 22-38).
- 7. Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albertson (U.S. Patent 3,390,750).
- 8. Albertson discloses a laminate comprising a metal foil and a metallic felt layer joined to the metal foil by welding, sintering, brazing or adhesives and having an exposed surface (e.g. see Figures 1-3; column 2, lines 8-21 and 45-55).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/701,068

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wohrl (U.S. Patent 4,735,260) in view of Boss (U.S. Patent 6,465,110).
- 11. Wohrl discloses a laminate comprising a metal foil and a metallic felt layer joined to the metal foil by brazing, crimping or clamping and having an exposed surface (e.g. see Figure 6; column 4, lines 51-53; column 5, lines 14-17). Wohrl may differ from the claims in that Wohrl may not disclose the same composition, basis weight, mean fiber width and same methods to attach the metallic felt layer to the foil. Boss, however, shows that all these compositions, felt and fiber parameters and methods of attachment are known specifications for insulating metal felts (e.g. see column 2, lines 43-67; column 3, lines 1-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Boss's disclosed compositions, felt and fiber parameters and methods of attachment for the metal felt of Wohrl because Boss discloses that felts-meeting-these-specifications are particularly good felts for insulating purposes.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,068

Art Unit: 1775

- 12. Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemont (U.S. Patent 3,948,295) in view of Boss (U.S. Patent 6,465,110) and further in view of Nelson (U.S. Patent 5,020,481).
- Lemont discloses a multi-layer laminate comprising a metal foil and a metallic felt layer 13. joined to the metal foil by fasteners or welding and having an exposed surface (e.g. see Figures 1-19; column 4, lines 10-25; column 13, lines 22-38). Lemont proposes using the laminate as multiple layers in tubular structures (e.g. see Figure 11) and also shows that joints may be overlapped without touching of the metal foils (e.g. see Figure 19). Lemont may differ from the claims in that Lemont may not disclose the same composition, basis weight, mean fiber width and same methods to attach the metallic felt layer to the foil. Boss, however, shows that all these compositions, felt and fiber parameters and methods of attachment are known specifications for insulating metal felts (e.g. see column 2, lines 43-67; column 3, lines 1-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Boss's disclosed compositions, felt and fiber parameters and methods of attachment for the metal felt of Lemont because Boss discloses that felts meeting these specifications are particularly good felts for insulating purposes. Lemont may not disclose much detail as to how to apply his multi-layer laminate to structures, but Nelson clearly shows that it is conventional in the insulator art to wrap thermal insulation jackets around pipes and that coupling connections can be made without heat transfer paths (e.g. see Figures 8-10). In view of Nelson, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to wrap the thermal insulation of Lemont around any type of

Application/Control Number: 10/701,068 Page 6

Art Unit: 1775

hot piping (e.g. exhaust pipes) with coupling connections because Nelson clearly shows that this is a conventional method of applying thermal insulation to structures in the art.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additionally cited references serve to further establish the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Lee (U.S. Patent 4,399,840) and Nakajima (U.S. Patent 6,166,326) are cited to show typical joint configurations used in the art to seal wrappings. Kordyban (U.S. Patent 3,224,622) is cited to show how overlapping of joint areas is used to insure proper insulation.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Zimmerman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1547. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm, M-F. Supervisor Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1775

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1775